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Motivation

1. Convection often missed in model at right location and time
2. Assimilate radar data to trigger convection at correct location and time
3. Latent Heat Nudging (LHN) is most common data assimilation method for models with kilometre-scale resolution
4. Convective environment crucial for the success of short-range QPF
5. Operational experience is suggesting that the impact decreases rapidly within the first 4 hours
Experimental design

Goal:
• Study the role of environment in the success of radar assimilation on QPF by performing a series of differently forced convection cases

Method and Tool:
• Latent Heat Nudging experiments in COSMO-DE-Ensemble ($\Delta x=2.8\text{km}$)

Data:
• 30 short range forecasts on 3 typical precipitation scenarios in COPS region:
  - forced frontal
  - forced non-frontal
  - airmass conv.
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The impact timescale

\[ P^\ast(t) = \frac{P_{LHN}(t) - P_{noLHN}(t)}{P_{LHN}(0) - P_{noLHN}(0)} \]

\[ \tau_{\text{impact}} = 0.5 \left( \frac{P^\ast(0) - P^\ast(\Delta t)}{\Delta t} \right)^{-1} \]

impact timescale: the impact timescale is estimated from the rate at which the impact of LHN decreases in the free forecast (to a value of 0.5); the impact of LHN is estimated from hourly precipitation rates.
Impact of LHN on 12 July 2006

2 distinct regimes can be identified:

- all except 6,9,10: LHN triggers convection, little precip without LHN, impact stays more than 3hrs (Non-equilibrium)
- cl. 6,9,10: LHN has little effect, short-lived impact of LHN (Equilibrium)
Ensemble Experiments in JJA 2006

- Type I: 31 July 2006
  Forced frontal
- Type II: 28 June 2006
  Forced non-frontal
- Type III: 12 July 2006
  Air mass convection
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precipitation processes are governed by the synoptic-scale flow and the assimilation of radar data has only minor short-lived impact on QPF (equilibrium situation)
A second timescale: convective timescale

convective timescale: estimated from the rate at which instability (measured by CAPE) is being removed by convective heating (Done at al. QJ2006):

$$\tau_c \sim \frac{CAPE}{d(CAPE)/dt}$$

with \(d(CAPE)/dt\) can be determined from the precipitation rate \(P\)
Stratification of Simulations

Scatter plot of different timescales of 30 forecasts of all cases:

Results suggest 2 different regimes:

(a) equilibrium situation: convection only redistributed, short-lived impact of LHN

(b) non-equilibrium situation: LHN triggers convection, long lasting impact

- forced frontal, 
- forced non-frontal, 
- airmass
CAPE and CIN seem to be insufficient predictors
- of equilibrium or non-equilibrium conditions and
- the potential impact of LHN
COPS IOP9c: QPF on 20 July 2007

Experiments without LHN
COPS IOP9c: QPF on 20 July 2007

Experiments without LHN

Experiments with LHN

DA
Summary

30 short range forecasts on 3 typical precipitation scenarios in COPS region support hypothesis on

What determines the impact of LHN?

**Scenario 1**: Forcing of convection is sufficiently homogeneous and slowly varying -> weak impact, conv. timescale 3-4 hours, 'equilibrium' situation

**Scenario 2**: weak synoptic scale forcing convection is governed by mesoscale details, no balance with large scale forcing -> large sensitivity of LHN, long-lived impact up to 6-8 hours, 'non-equilibrium' situation
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